arXiv:1606.06173v1 [math.NT] 20 Jun 2016

ON PAIR CORRELATION FOR GENERIC DIAGONAL FORMS

J. BOURGAIN

Abstract. We establish new pair correlation results for certain generic homogenous diagonal forms evaluated on the integers. Methods are analytic leading to explicit quantitative statements.

1. Introduction and statements

In [S], an analytic and quantitative approach to the pair correlation problem for (measure) generic binary quadratic forms $\alpha m^2 + mn + \beta n^2$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$) is given, establishing Poisson behavior. The method used in [S] has been developed further by several authors, in particular in [V], to which we will refer later. On the other hand, Sarnak's argument as it stands does not seem to apply to diagonal forms $m^2 + \beta n^2, \beta > 0$, corresponding to the Laplace eigenvalues of a rectangular billard, due to lack of parameters. Pair correlation results for binary quadratic forms have been obtained in [EMM], based on ergodic methods $\big($in this case the pair correlation statistics amounts to the distribution of quadratic forms of (2, 2)-signature$\big)$. A considerable advantage of the ergodic method is to provide deterministic results, with the quadratic forms being subject to a diophantine assumption. Those results are qualitative and only weak quantitative statements seem extractable from this technology. The purpose of this Note is to provide an alternative for Sarnak's approach which applies in the diagonal case and also in situations where no dynamical treatment is known. The technique is closely related to arguments in [BBRR] and [B].

A first model, suggested to the author by Z. Rudnick, is that of a generic positive definite diagonal ternary quadratic form

$$Q(\bar{x}) = Q(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + \alpha x_2^2 + \beta x_3^2, \alpha, \beta > 0$$

Restricting the variables to $\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, N]$, typical spacings are expected to be $O(\frac{1}{N})$ so that the natural interval size in the pair correlation problem for (1.1) is $O(\frac{1}{N})$. For

Date: June 21, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Pair correlation, homogenous diagonal forms.

The author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1301619.

T large and $a < b$, define

$$R(a,b;T) = \frac{1}{T^{3/2}}|\{(\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^3_+; \bar{m} \neq \bar{n}, Q(\bar{m}) \leq T, Q(\bar{n}) \leq T \text{ and } Q(\bar{m}) - Q(\bar{n}) \in [a,b]\}| \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$c = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \Big| \Big\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_+; Q(x) \leq 1, |Q(x) - Q(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Big\} \Big|. \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $Q = Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ be defined by (1.1) with $\alpha, \beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ parameters.*

Fix $\frac{1}{2} < \rho < 1$. Then almost surely in α, β the following statement holds. Let $T \to \infty$ and $a < b$, $|a|, |b| < O(1)$ and $T^{-\rho} < b - a < 1$. Then

$$R(a,b;T) \sim cT^{\frac{1}{2}}(b-a). \tag{1.3}$$

The second result relates to Vanderkam's work [V] on the pair correlation for homogenous degree k forms in k variables (and which is an extension of [S]). While in [V] a measure generic result for the full space of such forms is established, we restrict ourselves to diagonal forms, proving a similar result. Define for given $k \geq 3$

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1^k + \alpha_2 x_2^k + \cdots + \alpha_k x_k^k, \text{ where } \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Big[\frac{1}{2}, 1\Big] \tag{1.4}$$

$$c = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k_+; F(x) \leq 1\}| \tag{1.5}$$

$$R(a,b,T) = \frac{1}{T}|\{(\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^k_+; \bar{m} \neq \bar{n}, F(\bar{m}) \leq T, F(\bar{n}) \leq T \text{ and } F(\bar{m}) - F(\bar{n}) \in [a.b]\}|.$$

Theorem 2. *Let F be defined by (1.4) with $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ parameters. There is some $\rho > 0$ such that almost surely in $\alpha_2, \dots \alpha_k$ the following statement holds. Let $T \to \infty$ and $0 < a < b < O(1)$ and $b - a > T^{-\rho}$. Then*

$$R(a,b,T) \sim c^2(b-a) \tag{1.6}$$

More precise statements will follow from the arguments below. Some steps were not made quantitatively explicit in order not to over-complicate the exposition. It turns out that in the diagonal case, the most direct harmonic analysis attack introducing Gauss sums does not seem to succeed. Instead, we follow a slightly different approach based on distributional properties of certain Dirichlet sums (cf. [BBRR] and [B]).

2. Preliminaries

We make a few comments/reductions related to Theorem 1 (a similar discussion holds for Theorem 2). Clearly, Theorem 1 is equivalent to the statement (by rescaling)

$$\frac{1}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} R(a,b;T) \sim \frac{b-a}{2.T^{8/3}} |\{(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_+; Q(\bar{x}) \leq T, |Q(\bar{x}) - Q(\bar{y})| < T^{2/3}\}|. \tag{2.1}$$

We make a localization of the variables $x_i \in I_i = [u_i - \Delta u_i, u_i + \Delta u_i]$ and $y_i \in J_i = [v_i - \Delta v_i, v_i + \Delta v_i]$ (where $I_i, J_i \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, hence $I_i, J_i \subset [0, cT^{\frac{1}{2}}]$) and such that $u_i > T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$, $\Delta u_i = T^{\frac{1}{2}-3\varepsilon}$ and similarly for v_i and Δv_i. Then (2.1) will follow from

$$|\{(\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^3; \bar{m} \in I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3, \bar{n} \in J_1 \times J_2 \times J_3, \bar{m} \neq \bar{n} \text{ and } Q(\bar{m}) - Q(\bar{n}) \in [a,b]\}| \sim$$

$$\frac{b-a}{2T^{2/3}} |\{(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3; \bar{x} \in I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3, \bar{y} \in J_1 \times J_2 \times J_3 \text{ and } |Q(\bar{x}) - Q(\bar{y})| < T^{2/3}\}| \tag{2.2}$$

We may further ensure that (as we explain next)

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{I_i}, \mathrm{J_i}) \sim |\mathrm{u_i} - \mathrm{v_i}| > \mathrm{T}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $Q(\bar{m}) - Q(\bar{n}) = m_1^2 - n_1^2 + \alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) + \beta(m_3^2 - n_3^2)$ and $b - a < 1$, the contribution of say $|m_2 - n_2| < T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ to $R(a,b;T)$ is at most (denoting $\xi = \frac{a+b}{2}$).

$$T^{-3/2} \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{r} (m_2,n_2,m_3,n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4; m_i, n_i \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}, m_3 \neq n_3, |m_2 - n_2| < T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} \text{and} \\ \|\alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) + \beta(m_3^2 - n_3^2) - \xi\| < b - a \end{array} \right\} \right| \tag{2.4}$$

$$+T^{-3/2} \left| \left\{ (\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^6; m_i, n_i \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}, m_3 = n_3, \bar{m} \neq \bar{n} \text{ and } |m_1^2 - n_1^2 + \alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) - \xi| < b - a \right\} \right|. \tag{2.5}$$

Since α, β are measure generic, hence diophantine, (2.4) can be estimated by

$$T^{-3/2} T^{1-\varepsilon} \big(T^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a) + 1 \big) < T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a) + T^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon} < T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a).$$

For (2.5), since $|\xi| < O(1)$ and $\bar{m} \neq \bar{n}$, either $m_2 \neq n_2$ or $m_2 = n_2, m_3 = n_3, m_1, n_1 < O(1)$.

Again the $m_2 \neq n_2$ contribution is at most

$$T^{-\frac{3}{2}} T^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a) + 1 \big) < T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a) + T^{-1} < T^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} (b-a).$$

In order to evaluate the contribution of $|m_1 - n_1| < T^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$, simply replace $Q(x)$ by $\frac{1}{\beta} x_1^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} x_2^2 + x_3^2$ and exploit that $\frac{1}{\beta}$, $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ are diophantine. This justifies (2.3).

Next, observe that in order to establish Theorem 1, we need to consider the $T^{\rho+\varepsilon}$ cases for the interval $[a,b]$. This means that the measure of the exceptional parameter set in (α,β) for a fixed interval $[a,b]$ has to be multiplied by $T^{\rho+\varepsilon}$.

Similar considerations apply to Theorem 2, replacing $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $T^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and variables range $0 < x_i, y_i \lesssim T^{1/k}$. Condition (2.3) is replaced by

$$\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{I_i}, \mathrm{J_i}) \sim |\mathrm{u_i} - \mathrm{v_i}| > \mathrm{T}^{\frac{1}{k}-\varepsilon} \tag{2.6}$$

which we justify, as the argument differs. Assume $|m_1 - n_1| < T^{\frac{1}{k}-\varepsilon}$.

Note first that for a fixed interval $[a,b]$, we have for fixed $\bar{m} \neq \bar{n}$

$$\Big|\Big\{(\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_k) \in \Big[\frac{1}{2},1\Big]^{k-1}; |m_1^k - n_1^k + \alpha_2(m_2^k - n_2^k) + \cdots + \alpha_k(m_k^k - n_k^k) - \xi| < b-a\Big\}\Big|$$

$$\lesssim \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} |m_i^k - n_i^k|\Big)^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

Summing (2.7) over $\bar{m}, \bar{n}$ and using the geometric/arithmetical mean inequality, the contribution of $m_1 \neq n_1$ to $R_1(a,b;T)$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C}{T} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |m_1 - n_1| < T^{\frac{1}{k}-\varepsilon} \\ m_i, n_i \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}} (2 \leq i \leq k)}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} |m_i^k - n_i^k|} \leq \\
&\frac{C}{T}\Big(\iint_{\substack{1 \leq u \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}-\varepsilon} \\ v \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}}} \frac{dudv}{u^{\frac{1}{k}} v^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}\Big)\Big(T^{\frac{1}{k}} + \iint_{1 \leq u \leq v \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}} \frac{dudv}{u^{\frac{1}{k}} v^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}\Big)^{k-1} \leq \\
&\frac{C}{T} T^{(\frac{1}{k}-\varepsilon)\frac{k-1}{k}} T^{\frac{1}{k^2}} T^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \lesssim T^{-\varepsilon\frac{k-1}{k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

If $m_1 = n_1$, then say $m_2 \neq n_2$ contributing

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\frac{T^{\frac{1}{k}}}{T} \sum_{\substack{m_i, n_i \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}} (2 \leq i \leq k) \\ m_2 \neq n_2}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=2}^{k} |m_i^k - n_i^k|} \leq \\
&CT^{\frac{1}{k}-1}\Big(\iint_{1 \leq u \leq v \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}} \frac{dudv}{u^{\frac{1}{k-1}} v}\Big)\Big(T^{\frac{1}{k}} + \iint_{1 \leq u \leq v \leq T^{\frac{1}{k}}} \frac{dudv}{u^{\frac{1}{k-1}} v}\Big)^{k-2} \leq \\
&CT^{\frac{1}{k}-1}(\log T) T^{\frac{k-2}{k(k-1)}} T^{\frac{k-2}{k}} \lesssim T^{-\frac{1}{k(k-1)}} \log T.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Multiplying (2.8), (2.9) with the number of intervals $[a,b]$ under consideration, i.e. $O(T^\rho)$, the argument is conclusive letting $\rho = \min\big(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{2k(k-1)}\big) = \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. This justifies (2.6).

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We establish (2.2) with the intervals $I_i, J_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ introduced as above and in particular the separation condition (2.3).

Let $\xi = \frac{a+b}{2}, \delta = \frac{b-a}{2}$. Let $I_3 = [u-\Delta u, u+\Delta u], I_3 = [v-\Delta v, v+\Delta v], k_0 = u^2 - v^2$ and note that by (2.3)

$$|k_0| \geq |u-v|^2 > T^{1-2\varepsilon} \tag{3.1}$$

while for $x \in I_3, y \in J_3$

$$|x^2 - y^2 - k_0| \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta u + \Delta v) < T^{1-3\varepsilon}. \tag{3.2}$$

Rewrite $Q(\bar{m}) - Q(\bar{n}) \in [a,b]$ as

$$|m_1^2 - n_1^2 + \alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) + \beta(m_3^2 - n_3^2) - \xi| < \delta. \tag{3.3}$$

Taking into account (3.1), (3.2) and exploiting a usual upper/lower bounding argument, (3.3) may be replaced by

$$\Big| \frac{m_1^2 - n_1^2 + \alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) - \xi}{m_3^2 - n_3^2} + \beta \Big| < \frac{\delta}{|k_0|} \tag{3.4}$$

or, assuming $k_0 > 0$, i.e. $u > v$ and taking into account the variable localization

$$|\log(n_1^2 - m_1^2 + \alpha(n_2^2 - m_2^2) + \xi) - \log(m_3^2 - n_3^2) - \log\beta| < \frac{\delta}{\beta k_0}. \tag{3.5}$$

At this point, we use Fourier analysis.

Denote

$$S_1(t) = \sum_{\substack{m_i \in I_i, n_i \in J_i \\ i=1,2}} \big(n_1^2 - m_1^2 + \alpha(n_2^2 - m_2^2) + \xi\big)^{it} \tag{3.6}$$

$$S_2(t) = \sum_{m_3 \in I_3, n_3 \in J_3} (m_3^2 - n_3^2)^{it} \qquad . \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.5), the l.h.s. of (2.2) may be expressed as

$$\int S_1(t)\,\overline{S_2(t)}\; e^{-it\log\beta}\, \widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B},\frac{1}{B}]}}(t)dt \text{ with } B = \frac{\beta k_0}{\delta}. \tag{3.8}$$

Define $B_0 = \frac{\beta k_0}{T^{2/3}} > T^{\frac{1}{6}}$ and split (3.8) as

$$\frac{B_0}{B}\int S_1(t)\,\overline{S_2(t)} e^{it\log\beta}\, \widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B_0},\frac{1}{B_0}]}}(t)dt + \int S_1(t)\overline{S_2(t)} e^{-it\log B}\big[\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B},\frac{1}{B}]}}(t) - \frac{B_0}{B}\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B_0},\frac{1}{B_0}]}}(t)\big]dt$$

$$= (3.9) + (3.10).$$

Clearly (3.9) amounts to

$$\frac{B_0}{B}\Big|\Big\{(\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^3; m_i \in I_i, n_i \in J_i \text{ and } \Big|\log\frac{n_1^2 - m_1^2 + \alpha(n_2^2 - m_2^2) + \xi}{\beta(m_3^2 - n_3^2)}\Big| < \frac{1}{B_0}\Big\}\Big|$$

$$\sim \delta T^{-\frac{2}{3}}\Big|\Big\{(\bar{m},\bar{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^3; m_i \in I_i, n_i \in J_i \text{ and } |m_1^2 - n_1^2 + \alpha(m_2^2 - n_2^2) + \beta(m_3^2 - n_3^2) - \xi| < T^{\frac{2}{3}}\Big|\Big\}$$

and since $\xi = O(1)$

$$\sim \delta T^{-\frac{2}{3}}\Big|\Big\{(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3; x_i \in I_i, y_i \in J_j \text{ and } |Q(x) - Q(y)| < T^{\frac{3}{2}}\Big\}\Big| \tag{3.11}$$

which is (2.2). Note that so far, no further restrictions on α, β were made.

Since clearly

$$(3.11) > \delta T^{2-15\varepsilon} \tag{3.12}$$

it remains to ensure that

$$|(3.10)| < \delta T^{2-16\varepsilon} \tag{3.13}$$

which will involve an additional parameter restriction.

Analyzing the expression $\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B},\frac{1}{B}]}}(t) - \frac{B_0}{B}\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B_0},\frac{1}{B_0}]}}(t)$ and relying on L^2-theory, one verifies that

$$\|(3.10)\|_{L^2_\beta}^2 \lesssim$$

$$\Big(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\Big)^2\Big\{\int_{[|t|<T^{-2/3}k_0]}(|t|T^{2/3}k_0^{-1})^4|S_1(t)|^2|S_2(t)|^2 + \int_{[|t|>T^{-2/3}k_0]}\min\Big(1,\frac{k_0}{|t|\delta}\Big)^2|S_1(t)^2|S_2(t)|^2\Big\} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lesssim \Big(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\Big)^2\Big\{T^{-\frac{4}{3}+C\varepsilon}\int_{[|t|<T^\varepsilon]}t^4|S_1|^2|S_2|^2 + \int_{[|t|>T^\varepsilon]}\min\Big(1,\frac{T}{|t|\delta}\Big)^2|S_1|^2|S_2|^2\Big\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Recall that S_1 also depends on α, so that the measure of the (α,β)-set where (3.13) fails is bounded by

$$T^{-6+C\varepsilon}\Big\{T^{-\frac{4}{3}}\int_{[|t|<T^\varepsilon}t^4(Av_\alpha|S_1(t)|^2)|S_2(t)|^2 + \int_{|t|>T^\varepsilon]}\min\Big(1,\frac{T}{|t|S}\Big)^2(Av_\alpha|S_1(t)|^2)|S_2(t)|^2\Big\}. \tag{3.16}$$

It remains to bound (3.16). Write

$$|S_1(t)|^2 = \sum_{\substack{m_i,r_i\in I_i;n_i,s_i\in J_i\\(i=1,2)}} e^{it[\log\left(n_1^2-m_1^2+\alpha(n_2^2-m_2^2)+\xi\right)-\log\left(s_1^2-r_1^2+\alpha(s_2^2-r_2^2)+\xi\right)]} \tag{3.17}$$

and average in α. The α-derivative of the phase function equals

$$\frac{n_2^2-m_2^2}{n_1^2-m_1^2+\alpha(n_2^2-m_2^2)+\xi} - \frac{s_2^2-r_2^2}{s_1^2-r_1^2+\alpha(s_2^2-r_2^2)+\xi} \geq \frac{(n_2^2-m_2^2)(s_1^2-r_1^2+\xi)-(s_2^2-r_2^2)(n_1^2-m_1^2+\xi)}{T^2}.$$

This allows to bound the α-average of (3.17) by

$$\frac{T^2}{|t|}\sum_{\substack{m_i,r_i\in I_i;n_i,s_i\in J_i\\ i=1,2}}[1+|(n_2^2-m_2^2)(s_1^2-r_1^2+\xi)-(s_2^2-r_2^2)(n_1^2-m_1^2+\xi)|]^{-1}\leq$$

$$\frac{T^2}{|t|}\sum_{\substack{z_i,w_i\in\mathbb{Z}\\ T^{1-2\varepsilon}<|z_i|,w_i|<T}}[1+|z_2(w_1+\xi)-w_2(z_1+\xi)|]^{-1}\leq$$

$$\frac{T^2}{|t|}\log T\max_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\big|\{(z_1,z_2,w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^4;T^{1-2\varepsilon}<|z_i|,|w_i|<T \text{ and } z_2(w_1+\xi)-w_2(z_1+\xi)=k+O(1)\}\big|$$

$$\lesssim \log T.\frac{T^2}{|t|}\sum_{T^{1-2\varepsilon}<z_2,w_2<T}\Big(1+\frac{T}{z_2}(z_2,w_2)\Big)<\frac{T^{4+\varepsilon}}{|t|}. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.18),

$$(3.16)<T^{-4/3+C\varepsilon}+T^{-2+C\varepsilon}\max_{2^k>T^\varepsilon}\Big\{2^{-k}\min\Big(1,2^{-k}\frac{T}{\delta}\Big)\int_{[|t|\sim 2^k]}|S_2(t)|^2\Big\} \tag{3.19}$$

where for the first term we used the trivial bound on S_2.

The main point is the argument in the treatment of S_2. Recall the definition (3.7). Instead of restricting $m_3\in I_3, n_3\in J_3$, it will be convenient to use a smoother localization, replacing $1_{[u-\Delta u,u+\Delta u]}$ by $\varphi\big(\frac{x-u}{\Delta u}\big)$ with φ a bumpfunction satisfying $0\leq\varphi\leq 1$, supp $\varphi\subset[-1,1],\varphi=1$ on $[-1+T^{-\varepsilon},1-T^{-\varepsilon}]$. Then, writing $m_3^2-n_3^2=(m_3-n_3)(m_3+n_3)=mn$

$$S_2(t)=\sum_{m,n}\varphi\Big(\frac{m+n-2u}{2\Delta u}\Big)\varphi\Big(\frac{m-n-2v}{2\Delta v}\Big)m^{it}n^{it}$$

and the latter may be bounded (up to some factor $T^{C\varepsilon}$) by expressions of the from

$$\Big(\sum_{m\in M}m^{it}\Big)\Big(\sum_{n\in N}n^{it}\Big)$$

with M,N subintervals of $[1,T^{\frac12}]$ (recall that $m_3-n_3>T^{\frac12-\varepsilon}$).

A well-known argument involving the Mellin transform (see for instance [BBRR]) permits then to replace essentially $\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\big|\sum_{1\leq k\leq K}k^{it}\big|$ by the Riemann zeta function $\zeta\big(\frac12+it'\big)$ with t' a translate of t by $O\big((\log T)^2\big)$. Consequently

$$2^{-\ell}\int_{2^\ell<t<2^{\ell+1}}|S_2(t)|^2dt < T^{C\varepsilon}2^{-\ell}\max_{K<T^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_{2^\ell<t<2^{\ell+1}}\Big|\sum_{1\le k\le K}k^{it}\Big|^4dt$$

$$< T^{C\varepsilon}2^{-\ell}T\int_{[1<t<2^\ell+(\log T)^2]}\Big|\zeta\Big(\frac{1}{2}+it\Big)\Big|^4 < T^{1+C\varepsilon}2^{\varepsilon\ell} \tag{3.20}$$

using the classical bound

$$\int_1^{t_*}\Big|\zeta\Big(\frac{1}{2}+it\Big)\Big|^4dt \ll t_*^{1+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for all} \quad t_*>1, \varepsilon>0. \tag{3.21}$$

Finally, substituting (3.20) in (3.19) gives a measure estimate in the (α,β) parameter set of the form $T^{-1+C\varepsilon}$ (where $\varepsilon>0$ may be taken arbitrarily small). As pointed out earlier, this measure needs to be multiplied with the number $T^{\rho+\varepsilon}$ of intervals $[a,b]$ under consideration and Theorem 1 follows.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We follow a similar procedure as for Theorem 1, though we need less optimal estimates due to the fact that the number of intervals under consideration is only $O(1)$.

Recall the definition of the intervals I_i, J_i $(1\le i\le k)$ and the separation condition (2.6). It will suffice to show that for some $0<\kappa<1$ (depending on k)

$$\big|\big\{(\bar m,\bar n)\in\mathbb{Z}^k\times\mathbb{Z}^k; m_i\in I_i, n_i\in J_i \ \text{ and } \ F(\bar m)-F(\bar n)\in[a,b]\big\}\big|\sim$$

$$\frac{b-a}{2}\,T^{-1+\kappa}\big|\big\{(\bar x,\bar y)\in\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^k; x_i\in I_i, y_i\in J_i \text{ and } |F(x)-F(y)|<T^{1-\kappa}\big\}\big|. \tag{4.1}$$

Define

$$S_1(t)=\sum_{\substack{n_i\in I_i, n_i\in J_i\\ i=1,\dots,k-1}}\big(n_1^k-m_1^k+\alpha_2(n_2^k-m_2^k)+\cdots+\alpha_{k-1}(n_{k-1}^k-m_{k-1}^k)\big)^{it} \tag{4.2}$$

$$S_2(t)=\sum_{m\in I_k, n\in J_k}(m^k-n^k)^{it} \tag{4.3}$$

and evaluate

$$\int S_1(t)\overline{S_2(t)}\,e^{-it\log\alpha_k}\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B},\frac{1}{B}]}}(t)dt \tag{4.4}$$

where $B=\frac{\alpha_k k_o}{\delta}, k_0\sim m_k^k-n_k^k$.

Let $B_0 = \frac{\alpha_k k_0}{T^{1-\kappa}} > T^{\kappa/2}$ and decompose (4.4) as

$$\frac{B_0}{B}\int S_1(t)\,\overline{S_2(t)}e^{-it\log\alpha_k}\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B_0},\frac{1}{B_0}]}}(t)dt + \int S_1(t)\,\overline{S_2(t)}\,e^{-it\log\alpha_k}\big[\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B},\frac{1}{B}]}}(t) - \frac{B_0}{B}\widehat{1_{[-\frac{1}{B_0},\frac{1}{B_0}]}}(t)\big]dt$$

$$= (4.5) + (4.6)$$

Then, taking $\kappa < \frac{1}{k}$, (4.5) amounts again to

$$\delta T^{-1+\kappa}\big|\big\{(\bar{x},\bar{y})\in\mathbb{R}^k\times\mathbb{R}^k; x_i\in I_i, y_i\in J_i \ \text{ and } \ |F(\bar{x})-F(\bar{y})|<T^{1-\kappa}\big\}\big|$$

which is (4.1) and at least $T^{1-C\varepsilon}$ (recall that $\delta = O(1)$ in this case).

Thus we need to ensure that

$$(4.6) < T^{1-C'\varepsilon} \tag{4.7}$$

achieved by additional parameter restriction.

Using the $L^2_{\alpha_k}$-norm as before,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(4.6)\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_k}} &\lesssim \Big(\frac{\delta}{k_0}\Big)^2\Big\{\int_{[|t|<\frac{k_0}{T^{1-\kappa}}]}\Big(\frac{|t|T^{1-\kappa}}{k_0}\Big)^4|S_1|^2\,|S_2|^2 + \int_{[|t|>\frac{k_0}{T^{1-\kappa}}]}\min\Big(1,\frac{k_0}{\delta t}\Big)^2|S_1|^2|S_2|^2\Big\}\\ &\lesssim T^{-2+C\varepsilon}\Big\{T^{-3\kappa+4} + \int_{[|t|>T^{\kappa/2}]}\min\Big(1,\frac{T}{t}\Big)^2|S_1|^2|S_2|^2\Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where for the first term we used trivial bounds on S_1 and S_2.

It follows that the α-parameter set where (4.7) fails is of measure at most

$$T^{-3\kappa+C\varepsilon} + T^{-4+C\varepsilon}\int_{[|t|>T^{x/2}]}\min\Big(1,\frac{T}{|t|}\Big)^2\big[Av_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{k-1}}|S_1(t)|^2\big]|S_2(t)|^2dt \tag{4.9}$$

and it remains to bound the second term.

Estimate for $|t| > T^{\kappa/2}$,

$$|S_2(t)| < T^{-\gamma}|I_k|\,|J_k| < T^{\frac{2}{k}-\gamma} \tag{4.10}$$

for some $\gamma > 0$ (a more precise bound will be unnecessary). An estimate of the form (4.10) is obtained from standard exponential sum theory, exploiting only one of the variables m or n. Substituting (4.9), it remains to prove that

$$\int_{[|t|>T^{\kappa/2}]}\min\Big(1,\frac{T}{|t|}\Big)^2\big[Av_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{k-1}}|S_1(t)|^2\big]dt \lesssim T^{4-\frac{4}{\kappa}} \tag{4.11}$$

and (4.11) will clearly follow from

$$\frac{1}{T}\int \big[Av_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{k-1}}|S_1(t)|^2\big]\varphi\Big(\frac{t}{T}\Big)dt \lesssim T^{3-\frac{4}{k}+\varepsilon} \tag{4.12}$$

($0 \le \varphi \le 1$ a symmetric smooth bumpfunction).

The l.h.s. of (4.12) is bounded by

$$\int_{[\frac{1}{2},1]^{k-1}} d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_{k-1}$$

$$\left|\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\bar m,\bar n,\bar{m'},\bar{n'}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{k-1})^4; m_i, m_i' \in I_i, n_i, n_i' \in J_i \text{ and} \\ |n_1^k - m_1^k - (n_1')^k + (m_1')^k + \alpha_2(n_2^k - m_2^k - (n_2')^k + (m_2')^k) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}(\ )| < O(1)\end{array}\right\}\right|. \tag{4.13}$$

Set $N = T^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and

$$N^\rho = \max(|n_1^k - m_1^k - (n_1')^k + (m_1')^k|, \dots, |n_{k-1}^k - m_{k-1}^k - (n_{k-1}')^k + (m_{k-1}')^k|)$$

($0 < \rho \le k$). Then the (4.14) contribution to (4.13) is bounded by

$$N^{-\rho}\big|\big\{(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4; x_i < N \text{ and } |x_1^k - x_2^k + x_3^k - x_4^k| < N^\rho\big\}\big|^{k-1}. \tag{4.15}$$

To evaluate (4.15), we consider several cases for ρ.

If $\rho \ge k-1$ and since $k \ge 2$

$$(4.15) \le N^{-\rho}\Big(N^3\frac{N^\rho}{N^{k-1}}\Big)^{k-1} \le N^{3k-4}$$

let $1 \le \rho < k-1$, $M \sim \max(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = x_1$. Then

$$\big|\big\{(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4; x_1 \sim M, x_i < M \text{ and } |x_1^k + x_2^k + x_3^k - x_4^k| < N^\rho\big\}\big| \le$$
$$M^3\Big(1 + \min\Big(M, \frac{N^\rho}{M^{k-1}}\Big)\Big) \le N^3 + N^{\frac{4\rho}{k}} + \frac{N^\rho}{M^{k-4}}1_{[M^k > N^\rho]}$$

and (4.15) contribution at most

$$N^{3(k-1)-\rho} + N^{\frac{4\rho}{k}(k-1)-\rho} + N^{3k-4} \lesssim N^{3k-4}.$$

Remains the case $\rho < 1$. If $k \ge 3$, estimate

$$\big|\big\{(x_1,\dots,x_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^4; x_1 \le N \text{ and } |x_1^k - x_2^k + x_3^k - x_4^k| < N^\rho\big\}\big| \le$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 \Big|\sum_{x<N} e^{iux^k}\Big|^4 \Big|\sum_{n<N^\rho} e^{iun}\Big| du \ll N^{\frac{5}{2}+\frac{\rho}{2}+\varepsilon}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz and Hua's inequalities. This gives the contribution

$$N^{-\rho}N^{\frac{5+\rho}{2}(k-1)+\varepsilon} \ll n^{3k-4+\varepsilon}.$$

For $k = 2$, we obtain the contribution

$$N^{-\rho} N^{2+\rho+\varepsilon} \ll N^{2+\varepsilon} = N^{3k-4+\varepsilon}.$$

This proves Theorem 2.

References

[B] J. Bourgain, *A quantitative Oppenheim theorem for generic diagonal quadratic forms*, preprint 2016.

[BBRR] V. Blomer, J. Bourgain, M. Radziwill, Z. Rudnick, *Small gaps in the spectrum of the rectangular billiard*, preprint 2016.

[EMM] A. Eskin. G. Margulis, S. Mozes, *Quadratic forms of signature (2,2) and eigenvalue spacings on rectangular 2-tori*, Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no 2, 679–725.

[S] P. Sarnak, *Values at integers of binary quadratic forms*, Harmonic Analysis and Number Theory, CMS Conf. Roc. 21, AMS, 1997.

[V] J. Vanderkam, *Values at integers of homogeneous polynomials*, Duke Math. J., 97 (1999), no 2, 379–412.

J. Bourgain, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540

E-mail address: bourgain@math.ias.edu